

DAVID P GRIFFIN, PRO-SE

To the Texas Bar Association

Wednesday, April 14, 2010

In response of question 13, NO, I do not feel that my complaint was taken seriously or was investigated fully. It took less than two weeks to get a response, saying the Bar Association sees absolutely nothing wrong with the behavior of these attorneys.

I want to understand this completely, the Texas Bar Association is telling every American and Texas citizen that an attorney can go into a federal court and file false and misleading documents. Misrepresent their clients to the point of criminal activity. Threaten bully and intimidate Internet providers with lawsuits if they do not stop publishing my website which only had court filed documents that are open record to anyone who cares to go down to the courthouse and look at them.

Instructing their clients to file false and misleading documents. And **John Heller's** case he asked client to file a false and misleading document stating the number of employees. If you read the law and understand the definition of an employee and buy the records supplied bind the contractor to the city and to the federal government proves that there were more than 15 employees meeting the definition of a company. The law does not specify full time or part time only employee is paid for their work during a 20-day period. They met this criteria in the attorneys falsify slanted the affidavit to show where there wasn't. Who is at fault the lawyer for the lie or the client who signed the lie, or a lawyer's above the law that truly is the question here?

Since no judge will file criminal charges against a turning they have no fear. They do anything they want.

The Texas Bar Association is very similar to the Catholic Church, they say one thing and do something completely different and hide in the dark, and so no one can see the truth of light. You cannot respect the legal system when it is so corrupt they'd let their attorneys lie and file false and misleading documents with immunity.

The city of Austin attorney (**Robin Sanders**) has a fiduciary duty to uphold the law, not a grossly incompetent employee (**RONDELLA HAWKINS**) that cost the taxpayers millions of dollars and now the city of Austin is spent 10s of thousands of dollars defending the actions of a grossly incompetent employee. Is this what lawyers are supposed to do look the other way knowing that the good of the country and the government there serving doesn't matter only that they get a paycheck.

DAVID P GRIFFIN, PRO-SE

Why isn't there a whistleblower act for citizens complaining about government policy? ChannelAustin is nothing more than a puppet of the city of Austin. Its city of Austin equipment they're located in the city of Austin building they work off a city of Austin contracts without the city of Austin there would be no ChannelAustin. The city decided to go with these nonprofits so it would lessen their legal responsibility to the citizens. Using nonprofit as scapegoats so when trouble like this happens they can point at the nonprofit not the city of Austin. If you read the contract between ChannelAustin the city ChannelAustin has absolutely no control over their environment. The city dictates when they open, whom they hire and whom they fire. The city of Austin owns all intellectual property rights, so what does ChannelAustin really do!

Just keep the city out of trouble but they do a very poor job that many citizens have been denied access due to the Board of Directors and management policy. Free speech is great unless you're talking about channelAustin. ChannelAustin management authorized the sale of city property. ChannelAustin spent \$50,000 on editing equipment for management use only. ChannelAustin has denied citizens the right to use the equipment facilities because it conflicts with their ability to earn revenue using the city-owned equipment and building paying no fees to the city or Time Warner that equipment is owned by. Just simply put the money in their pocket. This equipment was bought for citizens the use not channelAustin if ChannelAustin was to be a production companies it needs to buy its own equipment.

But when ChannelAustin a nonprofit living off of a city contract has two attorneys fighting multiple people you have to ask yourself where does A not profit get their money? How can a nonprofit have two law firms win at Austin (**Richards Rodriguez & Skeith LLP**) in one San Antonio (**PLUNKETT & GIBSON, INC.**) fighting citizens who are only looking for the rights to use the equipment?

Why do the attorneys who know that these citizens have legitimate and legal right to use this equipment but they're being paid to deny the civil rights to citizens? Is this what the Bar Association is for?

In this time and age with the economy. All I heard in the court system was there a more pro se litigants than ever. There are no programs for pro se litigants that I found in the Bar Association. The Texas Bar Association better gets it through their head, That Por-Se litigants or here to stay. We will be practicing law we do not have law degrees so why not spend some of our tax dollars or more programs for pro se litigants. Because if there were programs out there that I could gone to and found out more information about the law and how the procedures worked this litigation could have been resolved many months ago. The Texas Bar

DAVID P GRIFFIN, PRO-SE

Association live off of my tax dollars, is the purpose only to help attorneys or is the purpose to help the legal system? That's the true question.

If the Bar Association wants to help the legal system navigate through these tough times where the credibility of attorneys are at the lowest. Where politicians and judges who are trying to get elected to the third Court of Appeals run such vicious attack ads lying about each other's record how can a judge set on a court and judge A attorney who is lying when they got their job by lying about how the other person did there job before.

Last November (2009) our president tried to address members of Congress he was shouted down by a Republican call him a liar to his face on national television. What has this country come down to?

In my case I felt there was no investigation. There was never a conversation with anyone at the Texas Bar Association, it was simply swept under the rug as quick as possible.

Last November I filed for a job with the Texas Bar Association the minute they found out I was a pro se litigant job was given to someone else. I still feel the only reason I was denied this job was because of my litigation against the city of Austin.

Bar Association has never told me the qualifications of the person that received a video production job. There age race or qualifications. With my many years of broadcasting experience receiving the Anny for my fellow journalists in 1985 from a work in Vietnam. My track record with the Texas Senate I find it hard to believe someone else was better qualified for this position.

I'm sure a 30 something woman was hired, no age or race discrimination going on at the Texas Bar Association. No discrimination against pro se litigants I'm sure the Texas Bar Association as many people who file lawsuits on they're own, that work for the Texas Bar Association.

There is no public insight into the Texas Bar Association everything is a secret everything is classified well we've seen what happens when everything is a secret once again look at the Catholic Church they say one thing but their actions are totally opposite just like the Texas Bar Association.

I would like to read these rules stating that these attorneys have broken no policy of the Texas Bar

DAVID P GRIFFIN, PRO-SE

Association. In these rules does it say anywhere you have to tell the truth in these rules does it say you do not have to fall the actual wall you make it up as you go. In these roles it says you can be a bully a terrorist as long as you get your way. In these roles discovering is something that never happens because everything is a secret and kept confidential, just another way of hiding behind closed doors. When a government closes the doors. And makes the backroom deals.

That win a democracy is truly danger, because when you go behind closed doors you lose the confidence of the people. When the citizens of the state of Texas do not have any confidence in the legal system you will see anarchy it is happening today, planes crashing into buildings here in Austin Texas is just one example how citizens have had enough of government telling them what to do. Steel in their lands and let attorneys go into court and just lie and file false and misleading documents as the judges look the other way.

As a journalist working for CBS news I've seen what happened when the citizens of the Philippines decided that they needed change. I was in Germany when the wall came down. I do not advocate violence in anyway. I've lived in Lebanon with the threat of guns and soldiers around me for years I understand what a country goes through with civil unrest that's why I am so concerned of what's going on right here right now. I see the same things happening people said things would never change in Russia people said things would never change in the Philippines. But they did.

If the Texas Bar Association doesn't wake up and regain the confidence of the citizens of Texas they can only look forward to bad things happing. I will not be a part of anything violent. But I will stand by and watch younger people take to the streets and will sit home and watch my television shake my head and say I told you so.

I do not look forward to that day but it is coming. If the Texas Bar Association cannot regain the respect of someone like me, your average fifty something citizen and the United States is in for serious troubles ahead because lawyers cannot be a special breed, above the law.

So I urge the Texas bar to re-examine this case more closely, a truly given a fair hearing.

I understand that you're not prosecutors and you cannot prosecute attorneys criminally but your report can be forwarded to the Travis County District Attorney for criminal prosecution if you present it to them.

DAVID P GRIFFIN, PRO-SE

Because only way to get confidence back in the legal system is to prosecute one attorney for lying. One sacrificial lamb to show the rest the law is to be taken serious and you cannot just lie to make your clients happy. I'm not saying you can't present your side but we all know there's a fine line these attorneys have stomped on it blurred it so much that the line is nonexistent they feel no remorse they have no cause to fear the system because they are the system.

You will find many people out there that have the same ideas and believe that I do. The legal system is post protecting them, what I have learned the legal system protects no one. Just stick to your guns doesn't matter if your story is true or not just go for it. Lying cheating filing false misleading documents is perfectly okay as long as you win your takes doesn't matter how you got there because no one is ever going to question a lawyer and his honesty.

So if you'd like to correct some of these injustices hire me to start a pro se program to train citizen lawyers about the system.

I originally sent a complaint to the Bar Association in September of 2009, it was sent back to me with the stamps on it was received I had to fill out this form. How many people do use in the form to and never hear back from them?

Why isn't their online form on your website?

When the Texas Bar Association receive a letter from any citizen a complaint should be started immediately and you can ask them to fill out the form. A complaint is a complaint citizens who complain about attorneys are angry upset and just don't understand what happened. The fact that they've filed a complaint should be enough for the Bar Association to start a investigate.

I am a communicator and a publisher and have a video production company. I produce videos on this subject matter. And that's why I want to be perfectly cleared just what does the Bar Association stand for.

Why does the Bar Association let attorneys break the law but this is not against their code of ethics?

Is there anyone at the Texas bar association will go on camera and answer these questions?

DAVID P GRIFFIN, PRO-SE

Sincerely yours
David P. Griffin pro se